Pearce

Pence

Petri

Pitts

Platts

Porter

Renzi

Royce

Shays

Souder

Terry

Tiahrt

Tiberi

Upton

Wolf

Wu

Walberg

Wilson (NM)

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Sali

Gilchrest

Gingrey

Gohmert

Goodlatte

Granger Hall (TX)

Hensarling

Hastings (WA)

Goode

Gordon

Haves

Heller

Herger

Hobson

Hoekstra

Inglis (SC)

Johnson (IL)

Johnson, Sam

Hulshof

Hunter

Jordan

King (IA)

King (NY)

Kingston

Lamborn

Latham

Lucas

E.

Mack

Manzullo

Marchant

McCotter

McCrery

McHenry

McKeon

Mica

McMorris

Rodgers

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Miller, Gary

Moran (KS)

Musgrave

Myrick

Nunes

Murphy Tim

Kline (MN)

Lewis (KY)

Lungren, Daniel

McCarthy (CA)

McCaul (TX)

Keller

Issa

those 12 years if they have such intense feelings in favor.

I would be happy to yield to my friend.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I would probably respond to your direct question that for the same reason for the 20 years prior to that your party didn't bring it up either.

But what I want to say, and I thank the gentleman for yielding, the gentleman said that the purpose of the House is not to debate every issue. I would tend to agree with that. But I think that the gentleman would have to agree with me that when there are propositions that are made in order. whether it is a bill or whether it is an amendment, that they ought to be debated and disposed of by the House and not be covered up, if you will.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 30 seconds.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman vield?

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. I yield to the gentleman from New Jersev.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, the majority will have a chance to work its will, the House will have a chance to work its will on his proposition, and we will make a majority decision and he will either win or lose, which I think is fair and within the rules.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I am glad the gentleman would do that. I hope he would join me. I am just worried that this is so unprecedented for this to happen. That is the point I made from the outset, and that is the point I make right now.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. CASTOR. Mr. Speaker, I am the last speaker for my side, so I will reserve my time until it is time to close.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adiourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. HASTINGS).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 164, nays 254, not voting 14, as follows:

> [Roll No. 1051] YEAS-164

Aderholt Bachmann Barrett (SC) Bachus Bartlett (MD) Akin Alexander Baker Barton (TX)

Biggert Bilbray Bilirakis Bishop (UT) Blackburn Blunt. Boehner Bonner Bono Boozman Boustany Brady (TX) Broun (GA) Burton (IN) Calvert Camp (MI) Campbell (CA) Cannon Capito Carter Castle Chahot Coble Cole (OK) Conaway Crenshaw Culberson Davis (KY) Davis, David Deal (GA) Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Doolittle Drake Dreier Duncan Emerson English (PA) Everett Fallin Feeney Ferguson Flake Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach

Abercrombie

Ackerman

Allen

Altmire

Andrews

Baldwin

Barrow

Becerra

Berkley

Berman

Boren

Boswell

Boucher

Boyd (FL)

Boyda (KS)

Brady (PA)

Braley (IA)

Brown (SC)

Ginny

Buchanan

Butterfield

Burgess

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carney

Castor

Clarke

Cleaver

Clyburn

Cohen

Convers

Cooper

Hare

Costa

Clay

Carnahan

Brown, Corrine

Brown-Waite.

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Arcuri

Baca Baird

Berry

NAYS-254

Costello Harman Courtney Hastings (FL) Cramer Herseth Sandlin Crowley Higgins Hill Cuellar Hinchey Cummings Hinojosa Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Hirono Davis (IL) Hodes Davis, Lincoln Holden Davis, Tom Holt DeFazio Honda DeGette Hooley Delahunt Hoyer DeLauro Inslee Dent Israel Dicks Jackson (IL) Dingell Jackson-Lee Doggett (TX) Johnson (GA) Donnelly Johnson, E. B. Dovle Edwards Jones (NC) Ehlers Jones (OH) Ellison Kagen Ellsworth Kanjorski Emanuel Kaptur Kennedy Engel Kildee Eshoo Kilpatrick Etheridge Kind Farr Fattah Kirk Klein (FL) Filner Fossella Knollenberg Frank (MA) Kucinich Kuhl (NY) Giffords Gillibrand Lampson GonzalezLangevin Graves Lantos Green, Al Larsen (WA) Green, Gene Larson (CT) Grijalva LaTourette Gutierrez Lee Levin Hall (NY)

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (GA) Linder Peterson (PA) Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Pickering Lofgren, Zoe Lowey Lynch Mahonev (FL) Maloney (NY) Price (GA) Marshall Pryce (OH) Matheson Putnam Matsui Radanovich Regula. Rehberg McDermott Reichert McGovern McHugh Reynolds McIntyre Rogers (AL) McNerney Rogers (KY) McNulty Rogers (MI) Meek (FL) Rohrabacher Meeks (NY) Ros-Lehtinen Melancon Roskam Michaud Miller (NC) Ryan (WI) Miller, George Mitchell Mollohan Schmidt Moore (KS) Sensenbrenner Moore (WI) Sessions Moran (VA) Shadegg Murphy (CT) Shimkus Murtha Shuster Nadler Simpson Napolitano Smith (TX) Neal (MA) Neugebauer Stearns Obey Sullivan Olver Tancredo Ortiz Bean

Pallone Pascrell Pastor Pavne Perlmutter Peterson (MN) Pomerov Price (NC) Rahall Ramstad Rangel Reyes Richardson McCarthy (NY) Rodriguez McCollum (MN) Ross Rothman Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Sanchez Loretta Sarbanes Saxton Schakowsky Schiff SchwartzScott (GA) Scott (VA) Serrano Sestak Shea-Porter Murphy, Patrick Sherman Shuler Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (WA)

Snyder Solis Space Spratt Stupak Sutton Tanner Tauscher Taylor Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Tierney Towns Tsongas Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walden (OR) Walsh (NY) Walz (MN) Wamp Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Watt Waxman Weiner Welch (VT) Weldon (FL) Weller Wexler Whitfield Wicker Wilson (OH) Woolsey Wvnn Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-14

Oberstar Hastert Jefferson Buyer Paul Carson Jindal Stark Chandler LaHood Westmoreland Cubin Markey

□ 1421

ELLISON, Messrs. MCNERNEY. BERMAN and RANGEL changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

GORDON Messrs. of Tennessee, MORAN of Kansas, BROUN of Georgia and HOBSON changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3685, EMPLOYMENT NON-DISCRIMINATION ACT OF 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington (Mr. Hastings).

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I would inquire of my friend from Florida if she has any more speakers on her side.

Ms. CASTOR. Mr. Speaker, I'm the last Member to speak on my side. So I will reserve the balance of my time until my colleague from Washington has made his closing remarks.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of the time.

Mr. Speaker, I find that we are debating a rule that is rather ironic because the underlying bill that this rule would make in order is a bill about discrimination and ending discrimination, and yet the very rule, the very rule that we debating, which makes

amendments in order, is a discriminatory rule because it does not treat all three amendments equal.

As I mentioned in my opening statement, Mr. Speaker, I will be calling for a vote on the previous question, and I strongly urge my colleagues to vote against the previous question.

Let me be clear, Mr. Speaker, by voting "no" on the previous question, Members will show their support for having a vote on amendment No. 3, which would expand the bill's protections to persons discriminated against based on gender identity. This is defined in the amendment as the genderrelated identity, appearance, mannerisms, or other characteristics of an individual with or without regard to the individual's designated sex at birth.

As I said, those voting "no" on the previous question will be able to vote on this question. Those Members who vote "yes" on the previous question will be showing their support for denying Americans, through their representatives, a voice on this issue.

Again, if the previous question is defeated, I will amend the rule by striking that provision in the language that denies having to seek unanimous consent to offer to withdraw the vote. If the previous question is defeated, the House will still be able to consider the employment nondiscrimination bill today.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have the text of the amendment and extraneous material inserted into the RECORD prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, as I mentioned earlier, this rule, as it stands, discriminates against amendments made in order. My colleagues who entered into the debate today said they are against discrimination; therefore, I urge them to vote "no" on the previous question and for equality.

Mr. Speaker, with that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CASTOR. Mr. Speaker, before the House today is the Employment Non-Discrimination Act of 2007 and the rule for debate. This bipartisan legislation from the people's House, the House of Representatives, marks another important step towards equality for all Americans.

You see, during the 230-plus-year history of our great country, the march toward equality under the law for all citizens has sometimes been slow, but it has been steady.

Over time, this Congress has outlawed discrimination in the workplace based upon a person's race, gender, age, national origin, religion and disability. When it comes to employment and hiring and firing and compensation and promotion, these decisions are rightly based upon a person's qualifications and job performance.

Our bill today will extend civil rights protections to sexual orientation.

 \sqcap 1430

On this proud day of the 110th Congress, we will chart a new direction for civil rights. On this proud day, the Congress will act to ensure that all Americans are granted equal civil rights in the workplace. The underlying value of Americans everywhere is to be treated fairly in our jobs and workplaces.

On this hopeful day for America, we will stand up for citizens who previously lived in fear that they will lose their jobs due to their employers' prejudices.

I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this landmark civil rights act. I urge a "yes" vote on the previous question and on the rule.

Mr. WELCH of Vermont. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 3685, the Employment Non-Discrimination Act, or ENDA, which prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.

Unfortunately, in 31 states, it is still legal to fire someone because that person is gay, lesbian, or bisexual. In 39 states, it is legal to do so if the person is transgender. I am proud that Vermont already protects individuals against employment discrimination based on both sexual orientation and gender identity. Vermont's 1992 Human Rights Law included sexual orientation protections in public and private employment, as well as public accommodations, education, housing, credit, insurance and union practices. In May of this year, Vermont included gender identity protections in employment, public accommodations, and housing. I applaud Vermont for these important steps and we must do the same on the national level.

When making employment decisions, employers should look at an individual's qualifications and the quality of the work they produce, not their sexual orientation or gender identity. The public strongly supports this notion.

Many employers have already acted on their own. Approximately 90 percent of Fortune 500 companies include sexual orientation in their nondiscrimination policies. More specifically, 49 of the Fortune 50 companies have a policy against employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.

Progress is being made on the gender identity front as well. Approximately 25 percent of Fortune 500 companies include protections against gender identity discrimination in their corporate policies.

Where employers do not act, however, the Federal government must step in and extend this protection to employees across the country. Employees are currently protected from discrimination on the basis of race, gender, religion, national origin or disability.

If not enacted today, I believe this Congress will soon realize that gender identity protections, like in Vermont, are also necessary and I stand ready to join my colleagues in taking that next step.

The decision before us today is whether or not we take a giant step forward. Whether or not we as a Congress want to protect the millions of gays and lesbians across the country from employment discrimination. This legislation is a perfect example of how this Congress has made a commitment to a new direction. This new direction embodies the values of equality and fairness, making sure that workers, children, families, and communities can fully participate in the successes of our economy. This bill would have never seen the light of day in past Congresses.

I am glad that the rule makes in order the amendment by Representative BALDWIN to include "gender identity" protections in the bill. I urge all my colleagues to support the rule, support the Baldwin amendment, and support the underlying bill.

The material previously referred to by Mr. HASTINGS of Washington is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 793 OFFERED BY MR. HASTINGS OF WASHINGTON

In section 1 of the resolution, strike the sentence which begins "Amendment number 3 in the report of the Committee on Rules".

(The information contained herein was provided by Democratic Minority on multiple occasions throughout the 109th Congress.)

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Democratic majority agenda and a vote to allow the opposition, at least for the moment, to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's Precedents of the House of Representatives, (VI, 308-311) describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition' in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

Because the vote today may look bad for the Democratic majority they will say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the definition of the previous question used in the Floor Procedures Manual published by the Rules Committee in the 109th Congress, (page 56). Here's how the Rules Committee described the rule using information from Congressional Quarterly's "American Congressional Dictionary": "If the previous question is defeated, control of debate shifts to the leading opposition member (usually the minority Floor Manager) who then manages an hour of debate and may offer a germane amendment to the pending business.'

Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon.

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Democratic majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Ms. CASTOR. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the res-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous auestion.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. CASTOR. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 224, noes 192, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 1052]

AYES-224			
	Abercrombie	Cuellar	Honda
	Ackerman	Cummings	Hooley
	Allen	Davis (AL)	Hoyer
	Altmire	Davis (CA)	Inslee
	Andrews	Davis (IL)	Israel
	Arcuri	Davis, Lincoln	Jackson (IL)
	Baca	DeFazio	Jackson-Lee
	Baird	DeGette	(TX)
	Baldwin	Delahunt	Jefferson
	Barrow	DeLauro	Johnson (GA)
	Bean	Dicks	Johnson, E. B.
	Becerra	Doggett	Jones (OH)
	Berkley	Doyle	Kagen
	Berman	Edwards	Kanjorski
	Bishop (GA)	Ellison	Kaptur
	Bishop (NY)	Ellsworth	Kennedy
	Blumenauer	Emanuel	Kildee
	Boren	Engel	Kilpatrick
	Boswell	Eshoo	Kind
	Boucher	Etheridge	Klein (FL)
	Boyd (FL)	Farr	Langevin
	Boyda (KS)	Fattah	Lantos
	Brady (PA)	Filner	Larsen (WA)
	Braley (IA)	Frank (MA)	Larson (CT)
	Brown, Corrine	Giffords	Lee
	Butterfield	Gillibrand	Levin
	Capps	Gonzalez	Lewis (GA)
	Capuano	Gordon	Lipinski
	Cardoza	Green, Al	Loebsack
	Carnahan	Green, Gene	Lofgren, Zoe
	Carney	Grijalva	Lowey
	Castor	Gutierrez	Lynch
	Chandler	Hall (NY)	Mahoney (FL)
	Clarke	Hare	Maloney (NY)
	Clay	Harman	Markey
	Cleaver	Hastings (FL)	Marshall
	Clyburn	Herseth Sandlin	Matheson
	Cohen	Higgins	Matsui
	Conyers	Hill	McCarthy (NY)
	Cooper	Hinchey	McCollum (MN)
	Costa	Hinojosa	McDermott
	Costello	Hirono	McGovern
	Courtney	Hodes	McIntyre
	Cramer	Holden	McNerney
	Crowley	Holt	McNulty

Richardson Stark Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Rodriguez Stupak Melancon Ross Sutton Michaud Rothman Tanner Miller (NC) Roybal-Allard Tauscher Miller, George Ruppersberger Taylor Mitchell Rush Thompson (CA) Mollohan Ryan (OH) Thompson (MS) Moore (KS) Salazar Tierney Moore (WI) Sánchez, Linda Tsongas Moran (VA) T. Udall (CO) Murphy (CT) Sanchez, Loretta Udall (NM) Murphy, Patrick Sarbanes Van Hollen Schakowsky Murtha. Velázquez Schiff Nadler Visclosky Napolitano Schwartz Walz (MN) Neal (MA) Scott (GA) Wasserman Scott (VA) Obev Schultz Olver Serrano Waters Ortiz Sestak Watson Pallone Shea-Porter Watt Pascrell Sherman Waxman Pastor Shuler Weiner Sires Pavne Welch (VT) Perlmutter Skelton Wexler Peterson (MN) Slaughter Wilson (OH) Smith (WA) Pomerov Woolsev Price (NC) Snyder Wu Rahall Solis Wynn Rangel Space Yarmuth Spratt Reyes

NOES-192

Akin

Alexander

Bachmann

Barrett (SC)

Barton (TX)

Bishop (UT)

Blackburn

Bartlett (MD)

Bachus

Baker

Berry

Blunt

Boehner

Bonner

Boozman

Boustany

Brady (TX)

Broun (GA)

Brown (SC)

Ginny

Buchanan

Calvert

Cannon

Cantor

Capito

Carter

Castle

Chabot

Cole (OK)

Conaway

Crenshaw

Culberson

Davis (KY)

Davis, David

Diaz-Balart, L

Diaz-Balart, M.

Davis, Tom

Deal (GA)

Donnelly

Doolittle

Drake

Dreier

Duncan

Emerson

Everett

Fallin

Feeney

Flake

Foxx

Forbes

Fossella

Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen

Moran (KS)

Musgrave

Myrick

Murphy, Tim

Wolf

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Ferguson

English (PA)

Ehlers

Dent

Coble

Burton (IN)

Camp (MI)

Campbell (CA)

Brown-Waite,

Bono

Bilbray

Bilirakis

Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Neugebauer Nunes Gerlach Pearce Gilchrest Pence Peterson (PA) Gingrey Gohmert Petri Goode Pickering Goodlatte Pitts Granger Platts Graves Poe Hall (TX) Porter Hastings (WA) Price (GA) Prvce (OH) Haves Heller Putnam Radanovich Hensarling Ramstad Herger Hobson Regula Hoekstra. Rehberg Hulshof Reichert Hunter Renzi Reynolds Inglis (SC) Rogers (AL) Issa Johnson (IL) Rogers (KY) Johnson Sam Rogers (MI) Jones (NC) Rohrabacher Jordan Ros-Lehtinen Keller Roskam King (IA) Royce Ryan (WI) King (NY) Kingston Sali Kirk Saxton Kline (MN) Schmidt Kucinich Sensenbrenner Kuhl (NY) Sessions Lamborn Shadegg Lampson Shavs Shimkus Latham LaTourette Shuster Lewis (CA) Simpson Lewis (KY) Smith (NE) Linder Smith (NJ) LoBiondo Smith (TX) Lucas Souder Lungren, Daniel Stearns Sullivan E. Mack Tancredo Manzullo Terry Thornberry Marchant McCarthy (CA) McCaul (TX) Tiahrt Tiberi McCotter Turner McCrery Upton McHenry Walberg Walden (OR) McHugh McKeon Walsh (NY) Wamp McMorris Weldon (FL) Rodgers Mica Miller (FL) Weller Whitfield Wicker Wilson (NM) Wilson (SC) Miller (MI) Miller, Gary

NOT VOTING-16 Aderholt Dingell Oberstar Fortenberry Biggert Paul Hastert Burgess Towns Buyer Jindal Westmoreland Knollenberg Carson Cubin LaHood ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

\Box 1449

Mr. SHULER and Mr. McINTYRE changed their vote from "no" to "aye." So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and navs

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 205, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 1053]

YEAS-218

Edwards Abercrombie Lee Levin Ackerman Ellison Allen Ellsworth Lewis (GA) Altmire Emanuel Lipinski Loebsack Andrews Engel Arcuri Eshoo Lofgren, Zoe Etheridge Ba.ca. Lowey Baird Lynch Farr Fattah Mahoney (FJ.) Baldwin Bean Filner Maloney (NY) Becerra Frank (MA) Markey Berkley Giffords Matheson Gillibrand Matsui Berman Berry Gonzalez McCarthy (NY) Bishop (GA) Gordon McCollum (MN) Green, Al McDermott Bishop (NY) Blumenauer Green, Gene McGovern Boswell Grijalya. McNerney Boucher Gutierrez McNulty Boyda (KS) Hall (NY) Meek (FL) Brady (PA) Hare Meeks (NY) Braley (IA) Harman Melancon Brown, Corrine Hastings (FL) Michaud Miller (NC) Herseth Sandlin Butterfield Capps Higgins Miller, George Capuano Hinchey Mitchell Cardoza Mollohan Hinojosa Carnahan Hirono Moore (KS) Carney Hodes Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Castor Holden Chandler Murphy (CT) Clarke Honda Murphy, Patrick Clav Hoolev Murtha Cleaver Hoyer Nadler Clyburn Inslee Napolitano Cohen Israel Neal (MA) Conyers Jackson (IL) Obey Cooper Jackson-Lee Olver Costa (TX) Ortiz Costello Jefferson Pallone Johnson (GA) Courtney Pascrel1 Johnson, E. B. Pastor Cramer Crowley Jones (OH) Payne Cuellar Kagen Pelosi Kanjorski Cummings Perlmutter Davis (AL) Kaptur Peterson (MN) Davis (CA) Kennedy Pomerov Price (NC) Davis (IL) Kildee DeFazio Kilpatrick Rahall DeGette Kind Rangel Delahunt Klein (FL) Reyes DeLauro Kucinich Richardson Langevin Dicks Rodriguez Dingell Rothman Lantos Larsen (WA) Doggett Roybal-Allard Doyle Larson (CT) Ruppersberger

Rush Ryan (OH) Salazar Sánchez, Linda т Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Serrano Sestak Shea-Porter Sherman Sires

Skelton

Velázquez Slaughter Smith (WA) Visclosky Snyder Walz (MN) Solis Wasserman Space Schultz Waters Spratt Watson Stark Stupak Watt Sutton Waxman Tauscher Weiner Welch (VT) Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Wexler Wilson (OH) Tierney Towns Woolsey Tsongas Wu Udall (CO) Wvnn Udall (NM) Yarmuth

Van Hollen

NAYS-205Frelinghuysen Aderholt Neugebauer Akin Gallegly Nunes Alexander Garrett (NJ) Pearce Bachmann Gerlach Pence Bachus Gilchrest Peterson (PA) Baker Gingrev Petri Barrett (SC) Gohmert Pickering Goode Barrow Pitts Bartlett (MD) Goodlatte Platts Barton (TX) Granger Poe Biggert Graves Porter Bilbray Hall (TX) Price (GA) Bilirakis Hastert Prvce (OH) Hastings (WA) Bishop (UT) Putnam Blackburn Haves Radanovich Heller Blunt Ramstad Boehner Hensarling Regula Bonner Herger Rehberg Bono Hill Reichert Hobson Boozman Renzi Hoekstra Boren Rogers (AL) Boustany Hulshof Rogers (KY) Boyd (FL) Hunter Rogers (MI) Inglis (SC) Brady (TX) Rohrabacher Broun (GA) Issa Ros-Lehtinen Johnson (IL) Brown (SC) Roskam Brown-Waite, Johnson, Sam Ross Ginny Jones (NC) Royce Buchanan Jordan Ryan (WI) Burgess Keller Sali Burton (IN) King (IA) Saxton Calvert King (NY) Schmidt Camp (MI) Kingston Sensenbrenner Campbell (CA) Kirk Sessions Kline (MN) Cannon Shadegg Cantor Knollenberg Shays Capito Kuhl (NY) Shimkus Carter Lamborn Shuler Castle Lampson Shuster Chahot Latham Simpson Coble LaTourette Smith (NE) Cole (OK) Lewis (CA) Smith (NJ) Conaway Lewis (KY) Smith (TX) Crenshaw Linder Souder Culberson LoBiondo Stearns Davis, David Lucas Sullivan Davis, Lincoln Lungren, Daniel Tancredo Davis, Tom E. Tanner Deal (GA) Mack Manzullo Taylor Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Terry Marchant Thornberry Diaz-Balart, M. Marshall Donnelly McCarthy (CA) Tiahrt Doolittle McCaul (TX) Tiberi Drake McCotter Turner Upton Dreier McCrery Duncan McHenry Walberg Ehlers McHugh Walden (OR) Emerson McIntvre Walsh (NY) English (PA) McKeon Wamp Weldon (FL) Everett McMorris Fallin Rodgers Weller Mica Feenev Whitfield Miller (FL) Ferguson Wicker Flake Miller (MI) Wilson (NM) Forbes Miller Gary Wilson (SC) Fortenberry Moran (KS) Wolf

NOT VOTING-10

Murphy, Tim

Musgrave

Myrick

Buyer Jindal Carson LaHood Cubin Oberstar Davis (KY) Paul

Fossella

Franks (AZ)

Foxx

Reynolds Westmoreland

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1459

Mr. DONNELLY changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material on H.R. 3685.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

EMPLOYMENT NON-DISCRIMINATION ACT OF 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 793 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 3685.

□ 1500

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3685) to prohibit employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation, with Mrs Tauscher in the chair.

The CHAIRMAN Pursuant to the

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered read the first time.

The gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller) and the gentleman from California (Mr. McKeon) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller).

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I thank the Chair, and I yield myself 3 minutes.

Madam Chairman and Members of the House, it is disgraceful but true that in much of the United States, it is perfectly legal for employers to fire workers simply on the basis of their sexual orientation.

I am proud that today the House will vote on legislation to end this discrimination. It has no place in American society.

The legislation we are considering was first introduced in the House in 1975, more than 30 years ago, and in the last three decades, gay, lesbian, and bisexual Americans have waged a courageous campaign for their workplace rights. I regret that they have had to wait so long for this vote, but I am pleased that this historic day has finally arrived.

The Employment Non-Discrimination Act ensures that employment decisions are based upon merit and performance and not prejudice. Federal law and the laws of 30 States permit employers to discriminate against employees based solely on their sexual orientation. In those 30 States, employers can fire, refuse to hire, demote, or refuse to promote employees on the basis of sexual orientation alone.

Earlier this year, under Chairman ANDREWS, the Health, Employment, Labor and Pensions Subcommittee heard testimony from Michael Carney, a highly decorated police officer. Officer Carney was initially denied the opportunity to return to his job with the Springfield, Massachusetts Police Department because he is gay. Fortunately, Massachusetts is not one of the 30 States to deny these basic rights to gay workers, and Officer Carney was eventually able to return to his job.

But that was not the case for Brooke Waites, who testified at the hearing. Ms. Waites was fired from her job in telecommunications after her employer discovered that she was a lesbian. Since the State of Texas allows employers to fire workers based on sexual orientation, Ms. Waites had no recourse. She could not get her job back.

It's hard to believe that fully qualified, capable individuals are being denied employment or fired from their jobs for these completely nonwork-related reasons. This is profoundly unfair and certainly un-American. Unless we act to outlaw this discrimination, millions of American workers will continue to live with the legitimate fear that they could be fired or denied a job and wind up unable to provide for themselves and their families. That is why it is essential that this Congress act to protect the rights of all workers, regardless of their sexual orientation.

The Employment Non-Discrimination Act extends employment nondiscrimination protections to gay, lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual people. It prohibits employers, employment agencies, and labor unions from using an individual's sexual orientation as a basis for employment decisions such as hiring and firing, promotion, or compensation. The bill prohibits employers from subjecting an individual to different standards of treatment based upon the individual's sexual orientation. The bill does not apply to businesses with less than 15 workers, private membership clubs, or the U.S. Armed Forces. And it does not apply to religious schools or other religious organizations.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Madam Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McKEON. Madam Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in opposition to H.R. 3685, a proposal fraught with burdensome mandates, litigation traps, and constitutional concerns.